UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

JOHN CARELLO,

Plaintiff,

-against-

THE CITY OF NEW ROCHELLE, THE NEW ROC POLICE DEPARTMENT, P.O. GEORGE ROSENBERGEN, AND P.O. JOHN/JANE DOES,

Defendants.

Index No.:

VERIFIED COMPLAINT

CIV. 2914
BRIEANT

Plaintiff, JOHN CARELLO, by and through his attorneys, McKenna McGowan

LLP, complaining of defendants, upon information and belief, alleges that:

NATURE OF THE ACTION

1. The within action arises pursuant to the Constitution and Laws of the United States of America, the Civil Rights Laws of the United States, 42 U.S.C. § 1983, the Human Rights Laws of the State of New York and the Common Law of the State of New York.

THE PARTIES

- 2. Plaintiff, John Carello, is a resident of the State of New York, County of Westchester, Village of Scarsdale, and at all relevant times to the allegations of this Complaint was a resident of the State of New York, County of Westchester and a citizen of the United States of America.
- 3. At all relevant times herein, defendants P.O. George Rosenbergen and P.O. John/Jane Doe(s) were police officers employed by the New Rochelle Police Department to perform duties in the City of New Rochelle. At all relevant times P.O.

George Rosenbergen and P.O. John/Jane Doe(s) were acting in such capacity as the agent, servant, and employee of the defendants New Rochelle Police Department and The City of New Rochelle. Defendants P.O. George Rosenbergen and P.O. John/Jane Doe(s) are sued individually and in their official capacity.

- 4. The defendant, City of New Rochelle, is a municipal corporation within the State of New York, United States, and at all relevant times it employed defendants P.O. George Rosenbergen and P.O. John/Jane Doe(s).
- 5. The defendant, New Rochelle Police Department, is an agency of the defendant, City of New Rochelle, and at all relevant times it employed defendants P.O. George Rosenbergen and P.O. John/Jane Doe(s).

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

- 6. On January 11, 2006, John Carello voluntarily entered the premises at 475 North Avenue, New Rochelle New York, known as the New Rochelle Police

 Department. Mr. Carello entered in order to speak with a supervising officer in connection with a traffic stop of Mr. Carello's automobile earlier on the same date by a New Rochelle police officer. Upon information and belief, this officer's name was/is

 P.O. Hudson. P.O. Hudson was in an unmarked car at the time of the stop. Mr. Carello felt the stop was improper, unjustified and menacing, and wanted to discuss this matter with the proper authorities.
- 7. In addition, Mr. Carello had placed a 911 emergency call during the stop, in fear of the menacing and harassing actions taken by P.O. Hudson. Although Mr. Carello reached the New Rochelle Police Department through 911 emergency, no police

personnel ever spoke to Mr. Carello. This, coupled with the actions of P.O. Hudson prompted Mr. Carello to seek assistance.

- 8. Upon arrival at the New Rochelle Police Department, Mr. Carello indicated to the desk officer that he wished to register a complaint. He was advised that defendant P.O. George Rosenbergen would interview him in a separate room.
- 9. Despite Mr. Carello's description of events as outlined in paragraphs 6 and 7, defendant Rosenbergen unilaterally decided Mr. Carello's complaint was without merit. Mr. Carello then asked to speak to a supervising officer. This request was met with hostility by defendant Rosenbergen and he left Mr. Carello. Mr. Carello returned to the lobby of the New Rochelle Police Department.
- 10. Mr. Carello then made repeated attempts to speak with another officer or supervisor. He remained in the lobby of the New Rochelle Police Department unassisted. Eventually, defendant Rosenbergen returned and Mr. Carello was taken back to the separate room.
- attacked Mr. Carello, grabbed him around the neck and pinned him against the wall of the interview room, unlawfully detaining him. Mr. Carello remained motionless and defenseless. Defendant Rosenbergen then pulled Mr. Carello by the neck and threw him back out into the lobby of the New Rochelle Police Department. Mr. Carello was able to gather himself and avoid smashing into the floor of the lobby. These physical actions were done without provocation and without the consent of Mr. Carello. These physical actions were not incident to an arrest.

- 12. On information and belief, defendants The City of New Rochelle and the New Rochelle Police Department authorized, tolerated, and ratified the misconduct of defendant Rosenbergen herein detailed by (a) failing to properly discipline, restrict and control employees; (b) failing to take adequate precautions in the hiring, training, retention and promotion of employees; (c) failing to forward to the District Attorneys Office of Westchester evidence in connection with criminal acts of employees; and failing to establish a meaningful departmental system for dealing with complaints of police misconduct, but instead responding to such complaints with denials and abuse of power calculated to mislead and which constitutes gross negligence under New York State Law.
- 13. As a result of the misconduct described above, plaintiff John Carello experienced humiliation, emotional distress, mental anguish, physical injuries, pain and suffering, incurred expenses, legal fees, and was otherwise damaged.
- 14. Said actions, conduct and behavior of defendant Rosenbergen were performed knowingly, intentionally and maliciously, by reason of which Mr. Carello is entitled to Punitive Damages in an amount to be determined at trial.

FEDERAL CAUSES OF ACTION

- 15. Plaintiffs repeat, reiterate and re-allege each and every allegation contained in paragraphs 1 through 14 as if more fully set forth herein.
- 16. The actions by the defendants herein described, directly and by authorization, condonation and ratification deprived Mr. Carello of rights secured to him by the Constitution of the United States, including but not limited to his First Amendment

right to freedom of expression, his Fourth Amendment right to be free from unlawful search and seizure of his person, his Fifth and Fourteenth Amendment rights to due process of law, including the right to be free from unjustified and excessive use of force and his Eighth Amendment right to be free from cruel and unusual punishment.

17. The actions of the defendants described herein, directly and by authorization, condonation and ratification, deprived Mr. Carello of his rights secured to him pursuant to the Civil Rights Laws of the United States, 42 U.S.C. § 1983.

NEW YORK STATE CAUSES OF ACTION

- 18. Plaintiffs repeat, reiterate and re-allege each and every allegation contained in paragraphs 1 through 17 as if more fully set forth herein.
- 19. On or about April 6, 2006 the plaintiff caused a written verified Notice of Claim to be filed with and served on the defendants. On or about April 28, 2006 an examination rursuant to General Municipal Law Section 50-H was taken of John Carello.
- 20. The actions of the defendants described herein, directly and by authorization, condonation and ratification, violated the Human Rights Laws of the State of New York, constitute false imprisonment, assault and battery, prima facie tort, conspiracy tort, negligence and gross negligence under the laws of the State of New York.

WHEREFORE, plaintiff John Carello requests the following relief jointly and severally against all of the defendants:

- A. Compensatory Damages in the amount of One Million Dollars (\$1,000,000.00).
- B. Punitive Damages in an amount to be determined at trial.
- C. Attorney's fees pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983, 42 U.S.C. § 1988 and the Human Rights Law of the State of New York.
- D. Such other, further and different relief as this court may deem appropriate.

Dated: February 27, 2007

White Plains, New York

Matthew J. McGowan, Esq.

MCKENNA MCGOWAN LLP

Attorneys for Plaintiff

JOHN CARELLO

111 Church Street

White Plains, New York 10601

(914) 686-0500

mm 9198 - 4thy BAS Code

PLAINTIFF VERIFICATION

STATE OF N	EW YORK)	ss.:
COUNTY OF	WESTCHESTER)	
I, John Carello, am the plaintiff herein. I have read the annexed Summons and Verified Complaint, know the contents thereof and believe the same to be true to the best of my knowledge, except those matters therein which are stated to be alleged upon information and belief, and as to those matters I believe them to be true.			
	I affirm that the foregoing state	tements	are true under penalties of perjury.
	The source of my information	n and k	nowledge are as I have witnessed
them.			
Dated:	February 27, 2007 White Plains, New York		
KENNETH NOH	<u>QQ</u> AVICKA	John C	Tarello

NOTARY PUBLIC, State of New York

Qualified in Westchester County Term Expires August 2, 20<u>08</u>

No. 02NO6113593